

# EC831

Rural and Peasant Economies  
No. of responses = 2(50%)




## Overall indicators

### Global Index

1. General Observations


2. Additional questions about this module



## Survey Results

### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. General Observations


1.1) Staff are good at explaining things and have made the subject interesting.




1.2) I have had opportunities to explore ideas or concepts in depth, and apply what I have learnt.



1.3) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance.




1.4) Feedback on my work has been helpful.




1.5) The course is well organized and running smoothly.




1.6) The IT resources and facilities have supported my learning well (e.g. equipment and software provided).



1.7) The library resources have supported my learning well (e.g. books, online services and learning spaces).




1.8) Overall, I am satisfied with this module.




2. Additional questions about this module


2.3) Advance information about the module was available and helpful.




2.4) Moodle resources were useful for this module.




2.5) The assessment was clearly related to what was studied.



2.6) The deadlines for work in this module were reasonable.



2.7) Feedback on assessments has helped me to see how to improve and build on my strengths.



# Profile

Subunit: School of Economics  
 Name of the instructor: EC831  
 Name of the course: Rural and Peasant Economies (EC831-2-2018-13-1)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 1. General Observations

1.1) Staff are good at explaining things and have made the subject interesting.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71
1.2) I have had opportunities to explore ideas or concepts in depth, and apply what I have learnt.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.3) The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.4) Feedback on my work has been helpful.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.5) The course is well organized and running smoothly.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.00	md=4.00	dev.=0.00
1.6) The IT resources and facilities have supported my learning well (e.g. equipment and software provided).	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71
1.7) The library resources have supported my learning well (e.g. books, online services and learning spaces).	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.00	md=4.00	dev.=0.00
1.8) Overall, I am satisfied with this module.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00

## 2. Additional questions about this module

2.3) Advance information about the module was available and helpful.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71
2.4) Moodle resources were useful for this module.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71
2.5) The assessment was clearly related to what was studied.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71
2.6) The deadlines for work in this module were reasonable.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71
2.7) Feedback on assessments has helped me to see how to improve and build on my strengths.	Agree					Disagree	n=2	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.71

# Comments Report

---

## 1. General Observations

<sup>1.9)</sup> What improvements could be made to this module in the areas highlighted above?

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

## 2. Additional questions about this module

<sup>2.1)</sup> Do you have any feedback regarding your seminars with Adelina Gschwandtner?

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

<sup>2.2)</sup> Do you have any feedback regarding your seminars with Sophia Davidova?

■ Enjoy her teaching , the idea of reading and having seminar discussion before another topic refreshes and reinforces your knowledge

<sup>2.8)</sup> Do you have any additional comments to make regarding this module?

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.